wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Juan Ramon Soto-Hernandez	Case Number:	11-6310M	
and was			as held on June 17, 2011. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by	a preponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the ch	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyon or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
I	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	co.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
I	The defendant is facing a maximun	n of y	rears imprisonment.	
at the tin	ne of the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial So noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
	 There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con- 	endant will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correct appeal. of the Ur defendar	The defendant is committed to the custody ions facility separate, to the extent practical The defendant shall be afforded a reasonabited States or on request of an attorney for to the United States Marshal for the purp APPEAI	of the Attorney General or his, ble, from persons awaiting or so ble opportunity for private consthe Government, the person in bose of an appearance in contact AND THIRD PARTY REL	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. EASE	
deliver a Court.	T IS ORDERED that should an appeal of the copy of the motion for review/reconsideration	nis detention order be filed witl on to Pretrial Services at least	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services	T IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release sufficiently in advance of the hearing beforte the potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered the District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
I	DATED this 20 th day of June, 201	11.		
		San		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge